| | 1. Summary Information | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| School | | | St Briavels Primary Sc | hool | | |
| Academic Year | 2016/2017 | Total PP Budget | £27400 | Date of most recent PP review | | |
| Total number of pupils | 135 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 21 (16%) Funding for 19 | Date of next internal review of this strategy | | |

| Breakdown | Funding |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Ever 6 pupils 15 pupils @£1320 | £19800 |
| Post looked after (PP+) 4 @£1900 | £7600 |
| Total | £27400 |

| %at expected ARE in reading, writing and maths (will features at end of year) | KS1 Disadvantaged (4 Children) | KS1 non disadvantaged | National disadvantaged | National non disadvantaged | St.Briavels to National <i>G</i> ap | K52 disadvantages (5 Children) | KS2 non disadvantaged | National disadvantaged | National non- disadvantaged | St. Briavels Gap to National average |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| ARE | 75% | 50% | 63% | 79% | +12% | 80% | 93% | 60% | 77% | +20% |
| Reading | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARE writing | 75% | 29% | 54% | 72% | +20% | 80% | 66% | 66% | 81% | -1% |
| ARE maths | 75% | 64% | 62% | 79% | +13% | 40% | 73% | 63% | 80% | -23% |
| | KS1 | | | | | | K52 | | | |

EYFS

| | St Briavels disadvantaged (3) | St Briavels non disadvantaged (18) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Overall good development of learning | 0% | 78% |
| Average point score | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Early Learning | goals achieved | |
| Reading | 67% | 94% |
| Writing | 0% | 82% |
| Number | 67% | 89% |
| Shape space measure | 67% | 94% |

Phonics Test

| | 2017 St.Briavels | 2017 St Briavels | 2017 national | 2017 national non | Difference between school |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | disadvantaged | non disadvantaged | disadvantaged | disadvantaged | disadvantaged and national |
| | _ | _ | _ | _ | disadvantaged |
| Year 1 (O children) | 0 | 90% | 70% | 84% | N/A |
| Year 2 (1 children) | 100% | 100% | | | |

| 2. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Α. | Emotional needs including attachment issues. Some of our pupils in receipt of pupil premium funding have complex needs resulting | | | | |
| | from emotional and attachment related difficulties. Others may lack confidence and struggle with peer relationships. | | | | |
| В | Social and communication skills. Many of our pupils in receipt of pupil premium funding find it difficult to take turns, play with | | | | |
| | peers in a less structured environment and communicate effectively with both adults and peers. | | | | |
| С | Physical and health needs. A number of our children in receipt of pupil premium have difficulties with gross and fine motor control | | | | |
| D | Behavioural issues within class and at less structured times. | | | | |
| Е | Specific and individual learning needs | | | | |

| | | Extern | al Barr | riers | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| F | Disadvantaged children's famil | ies are sometimes unab | le to af | ford/access the | additional enriching (| opportunities and experiences |
| | _ | offered by the school (| resider | ntial and day trips |) or other agencies. | |
| | | 3. Desire | ed Out | comes | _ | |
| | Desired outcomes and how the | ey will be measured | | | Success criter | ia |
| Α | Pupil premium children, includi | ing those more able | PP chi | ldren will be enco | uraged to take respo | ensibility for their own learning |
| | pupils will increase their engo | agement with their | th | rough reflection (| on strengths and nee | ds. They will make as much |
| | learning through developing tr | usting relationships | prog | gress as 'other' pu | pils in reading writin | g and maths across each key |
| | with staff. | | | | stage. | |
| What evi | dence is there to show that PP chil | dren have increased the | eir eng | agement with lear | ning through trustin | g relationships with staff? |
| | FSW- teacher | liaison with FSW to fu | Ily und | erstand children's | emotional needs. | |
| TEC grou | ups – evidence in TEC Targets of ei | ngagement with learning | g outdo | ors eg. find area | of field, take on sma | ll group leadership, devise |
| | experiments, in | creasing attention span | , confi | dence to speak ou | t within the group. | |
| | My Plans - | better than expected p | progres | s from low KS 1 s | tarting points | |
| Pupils now co | oming into school confidently after | a term of intervention | by TA | in meeting and gr | eeting pupils lacking | confidence in leaving parents. |
| | Through increased self-esteen | n, improved listening | • | | • • | ress in PSED and CL as 'other' |
| | skills and a greater use of expre | | chi | ldren. Pupils in KS | 1 And KS2 will make | as much progress in reading |
| | will be able to interact more eff | | | writi | ng and maths as 'oth | er' children. |
| | situations and therefore show | | | | | |
| | What evidence is there to show th | nat pupil's progress is in | 1 | | sadvantaged pupils a | |
| | | Disadvantaged pupils | Non | -Disadvantaged | Disadvantaged | Disadvantaged without SEN |
| | | | | | with SEN | |
| | Reading | 6 | | 5.9 | 5.5 | 6 |
| Writing 5.9 | | 5.9 | | 5.7 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| | Maths | 6.4 | | 6.1 | 7 | 6.3 |
| С | Improved gross and fine r | notor skills will ensure | that | Improved hand | dwriting will ensure t | hat children can record their |
| | pupils can work more et | fectively and efficient | ly | ideas more effi | ciently and will there | fore make as much progress in |
| | especially wit | h written work. | | read | ing writing and math: | s as 'other' children. |

| | What evidence is there to show that children have an efficient and effective handwriting style? | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | TA records from Fizzy programme and class teacher comments on improved handwriting styles | | | | | |
| D | Pupils, who exhibit challenging behaviour, will be able to access the taught curriculum without disruption to themselves or others. | Fewer behaviour incidents reported for those children, reflected in increased progress as a result of engagement with learning | | | | |
| | What evidence is there of the impact of intensive behavior | ur support for individual disadvantaged children? | | | | |
| Fewer behaviour | incidences reported for pupils over the year, less need for | removal from class room activities and better integration in whole | | | | |
| | class tasks | • | | | | |
| E | E Support for children's individual learning needs will be addressed Implemented to address these additional needs. Specific and sustained progress will be made in relation to these identified areas. | | | | | |
| What evidence is t | What evidence is there that quality first teaching, in class and intervention groups, is resulting in sufficient progress for disadvantaged children? | | | | | |
| | See progress charts. | | | | | |

| | 3. Review of Expenditure | | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Action | Impact | Cost | Future Actions | | | |
| TEC Group | Individual targets set by Teacher | Teacher +TA 1 afternoon per week | Purchase Boxall profile | | | |
| Music Lesson | Lessons paid for 5 children Music teachers report of increased concentration. perseverance, confidence and commitment, as well as improvement in skills. Pupils all took part in whole school performance. 1 pupil who was yr 6 is carrying on lessons in secondary school | 5 children | Continue to offer tuition and look at offering lessons to other PP children | | | |

| Trips/Residential | The Residential trip for yr 5 and 6 was an excellent bonding experience for the children who would in September, be in a new class together. and are a fairly young/immature group. This experience enabled us to work together on skills needed, in order to prepare them for the increased level of independence which has been expected of them in Y6 ready for their transition to secondary school. The impact on their ability to now work independently and in groups with growing self-confidence and self-esteem has been noticed in this their final year at St Briavels. | 8 Year5/6 residential Panto 16 children Gwent Wildlife Trip 4 children | Offer to selected families due to financial constraints |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| Meals | Concentration levels in the afternoons due to the benefit of a hot meal | 2 children | Continue to offer to families where appropriate |
| Breakfast Club | Concentration levels during the school day. Support with attendance being in | 1 child | Continue to offer where appropriate |

| | on time Small group social interaction | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| TA Intervention | In depth knowledge of needs of PP children eg, social, emotional, behavioural, academic interventions as required. | Weekly intervention | Best use of funding for best impact |
| Cool Milk | Equal access to mid-morning milk for all. | 6 children | Continue to provide as needed. |
| | | | |

| | Cost | Percentage of Budget |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Enrichment | £3917 | 14.6% |
| Nurture Groups | £7725.90 | 28.8% |
| Targeted Support | £15191.76 | 56.6% |

